

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
: 08/335,	,981 11/08/94	FREEDON	H 100, 100
00,000		, ne m.	generality is
	•	F3M1/0117! · ·	EXAMINER
	OV MASHRIIRN KÜ	RTZ MACKIEWICZ &	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER
			ARTURIT PAPER NUMBER
CONT. 1 T	ELETY PLACE - 1	46TH PLUOR	\$301
	ELPHIA PA 1910		81717796
		STAR TO STAR STAR STAR	DATE MAILED:
	from the examiner in charge ATENTS AND TRADEMARK		the section of the se
J. 5. 3. 5 3.	or the better	Early Douglass of Taylors	
			, '1' '
This application has	been examined Re	sponsive to communication filed on //	29/95 This action is made final
r.		, , ,	
	riod for response to this actio		
ure to respond within	the period for response will o	cause the application to become abandon	ed. 35 U.S.C. 133
1 THE FOLLOWIN	NG ATTACHMENT(S) ARE F	PART OF THIS ACTION:	
	erences Cited by Examiner, 1		e of Draftsman's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948
	Cited by Applicant, PTO-1449 n How to Effect Drawing Cha		e of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152.
		1 2	4.1
III SUMMARY OF	ACTION SOLE L	er i en	or the state of the
Claims 1	342		are pending in the application
Cams	516. 24° 1		are perioning in the application
Of the abo	ove, claims	<u>. </u>	are withdrawn from consideration.
	100 A TO L (1 A 2 T)	era esta a la Colonia de La Co	have been cancelled.
Claims			
/	_ 1/ /	学的 手もの 15 年 対応 6月	
Claims 34	- 9 C		are allowed.
_/: 3-	37 1 1 1 1	ME NV. U. No. 1 A. No.	are allowed.
Claims 1	39		are allowed.
_/: 3-	3.9		are allowed.
Claims	3.9	PE BV. N. LOT C. S. Lot	are allowed.
Claims	<i>y</i>	PS - NV-12 - LOT - T-P Tre-	are allowed. ,
Claims	<i>y</i>	PE BV. N. LOT C. S. Lot	are allowed. ,
Claims	has been filed with informal	drawings under 37 C.F.R. 1.85 which are	are allowed. ,
Claims Claims Claims Claims This application Formal drawings	has been filed with informal as are required in response to	ardrawings under 37 C.F.R. 1.85 which are this Office action.	are allowed. are rejected. are objected to. assubject to restriction or election requirement. acceptable for examination purposes.
Claims	has been filed with informal of a response to be sare required in response to be substitute drawings have be	drawings under 37 C.F.R. 1.85 which are this Office action.	are allowed. are rejected. are objected to. assubject to restriction or election requirement. acceptable for examination purposes. Under 37 C.F.R. 1.84 these drawings
Claims	has been filed with informal of a response to be sare required in response to be substitute drawings have be	ardrawings under 37 C.F.R. 1.85 which are this Office action.	are allowed. are rejected. are objected to. assubject to restriction or election requirement. acceptable for examination purposes. Under 37 C.F.R. 1.84 these drawings
Claims Claims Claims This application Formal drawings The corrected or are acceptable The proposed a	has been filed with informal of a are required in response to or substitute drawings have bele; ont acceptable (see exadditional or substitute sheet)	drawings under 37 C.F.R. 1.85 which are this Office action. een received on xplanation or Notice of Draftsman's Patents) of drawings, filed on	are allowed. are rejected. are objected to. e subject to restriction or election requirement. acceptable for examination purposes. Under 37 C.F.R. 1.84 these drawings to Drawing Review, PTO-948).
Claims Claims Claims This application Formal drawings The corrected or are acceptate The proposed a	has been filed with informal as are required in response to a substitute drawings have bele; and acceptable (see e.	drawings under 37 C.F.R. 1.85 which are this Office action. een received on xplanation or Notice of Draftsman's Patents) of drawings, filed on	are allowed. are rejected. are objected to. e subject to restriction or election requirement. acceptable for examination purposes. Under 37 C.F.R. 1.84 these drawings to Drawing Review, PTO-948).
Claims Claims Claims This application The corrected or areacceptable The proposed a examiner;	has been filed with informal of a sare required in response to or substitute drawings have be ble; I not acceptable (see exadditional or substitute sheet(lisapproved by the examiner	drawings under 37 C.F.R. 1.85 which are this Office action. been received on xplanation or Notice of Draftsman's Patents) of drawings, filed on	are allowed. are rejected. are objected to. e subject to restriction or election requirement. acceptable for examination purposes. Under 37 C.F.R. 1.84 these drawings Drawing Review, PTO-948). has (have) been □ approved by the
Claims Claims Claims Claims This application The corrected or are acceptable The proposed a examiner; The proposed di	has been filed with informal of a sare required in response to or substitute drawings have belie; ont acceptable (see exadditional or substitute sheet(lisapproved by the examiner or	drawings under 37 C.F.R. 1.85 which are this Office action. een received on	are allowed. are rejected. are objected to. assubject to restriction or election requirement. acceptable for examination purposes. Under 37 C.F.R. 1.84 these drawings in Drawing Review, PTO-948). has (have) been approved by the led; disapproved (see explanation).
Claims Claims Claims This application The corrected or an erecaptate The proposed a examiner; d	has been filed with informal of a sare required in response to or substitute drawings have be ble; I not acceptable (see exadditional or substitute sheet(lisapproved by the examiner or awing correction, filed	drawings under 37 C.F.R. 1.85 which are this Office action. sen received on	are allowed. are rejected. are objected to. e subject to restriction or election requirement. acceptable for examination purposes. Under 37 C.F.R. 1.84 these drawings Drawing Review, PTO-948). has (have) been □ approved by the
Claims Claims Claims This application The corrected of acceptate acceptate examiner; d The proposed a examiner; d Acknowledgeme	has been filed with informal of a sare required in response to or substitute drawings have be ble; I not acceptable (see exadditional or substitute sheet(lisapproved by the examiner or awing correction, filed	drawings under 37 C.F.R. 1.85 which are this Office action. een received on	are allowed. are rejected. are objected to. assubject to restriction or election requirement. acceptable for examination purposes. Under 37 C.F.R. 1.84 these drawings in Drawing Review, PTO-948). has (have) been approved by the led; disapproved (see explanation).
Claims	has been filed with informal of a sare required in response to be substitute drawings have be be: I not acceptable (see each ditional or substitute sheet (lisapproved by the examiner arrawing correction, filed ent is made of the claim for paperent application, serial no.	drawings under 37 C.F.R. 1.85 which are this Office action. een received on	are allowed. are rejected. are objected to. assubject to restriction or election requirement. acceptable for examination purposes. Under 37 C.F.R. 1.84 these drawings in Drawing Review, PTO-948). has (have) been approved by the led; disapproved (see explanation).
Claims Claims Claims Claims Claims This application The corrected or are acceptate The proposed are examiner; and acceptate Claims Claims The proposed did acceptate Claims Claims Claims Claims Claims Formal drawings Acceptate Acceptate Claims Claims Formal drawings Acceptate Claims Claims Formal drawings Acceptate Claims Claims Formal drawings Claims Claims Claims Formal drawings Claims Claims Claims Formal drawings Claims Claims Claims Claims Formal drawings Claims	has been filed with informal of a sare required in response to be substitute drawings have be be: I not acceptable (see each ditional or substitute sheet (lisapproved by the examiner arrawing correction, filed ent is made of the claim for paperent application, serial no.	drawings under 37 C.F.R. 1.85 which are this Office action. een received on	are allowed. are rejected. are objected to. assubject to restriction or election requirement. acceptable for examination purposes. Under 37 C.F.R. 1.84 these drawings Drawing Review, PTO-948). has (have) beenapproved by the ed;disapproved (see explanation). copy hasbeen receivednot been received
Claims Claims Claims This application This application The corrected on are acceptat The proposed a examiner; and the proposed december of the pro	has been filed with informal of a sare required in response to be substitute drawings have be be: I not acceptable (see each ditional or substitute sheet (lisapproved by the examiner arrawing correction, filed ent is made of the claim for paperent application, serial no.	drawings under 37 C.F.R. 1.85 which are this Office action. een received on	are allowed. are rejected. are objected to. assubject to restriction or election requirement. acceptable for examination purposes. Under 37 C.F.R. 1.84 these drawings Drawing Review, PTO-948). has (have) beenapproved by the ed;disapproved (see explanation). copy hasbeen receivednot been received
4. Claims 5. Claims 6. Claims 7. This application 8. Formal drawings 9. The corrected or are acceptate 1. The proposed are examiner; and 1. The proposed did 2. Acknowledgement been filed in a sum of the sum of th	has been filed with informal of a sare required in response to be substitute drawings have be be: I not acceptable (see each ditional or substitute sheet (lisapproved by the examiner arrawing correction, filed ent is made of the claim for paperent application, serial no.	drawings under 37 C.F.R. 1.85 which are this Office action. een received on	are allowed. are rejected. are objected to. assubject to restriction or election requirement. acceptable for examination purposes. Under 37 C.F.R. 1.84 these drawings Drawing Review, PTO-948). has (have) beenapproved by the ed;disapproved (see explanation). copy hasbeen receivednot been received

Serial No. 08/335,981 Art Unit 3301

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. § 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-5, 9, 12, 15, 19-20 and 23-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by Buese '159, as set forth in the previous office action, paragraph no. 2, Paper No. 8.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. § 103 which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Subject matter developed by another person, which qualifies as prior art only under subsection (f) or (g) of section 102 of this title, shall not preclude patentability under this section where the subject matter and the claimed invention were, at the time the invention was made, owned by the same person or subject to an obligation of assignment to the same person.

Claims 6-8, 10-11, 13-14, 16-18, 21-22, 25-27 and 29-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over Buese '159 in view of Gasper, as set forth in the previous office action, paragraph no. 5, Paper No. 8.

Claim 28 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over the references as applied to claim 25 above, and

Serial No. 08/335,981 Art Unit 3301

further in view of Parker, as set forth in the previous office action, paragraph no. 7, Paper No. 8.

Claims 31-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over Buese '159 in view of Paxit, as set forth in the previous office action, paragraph no. 9, Paper No. 8.

Applicant's arguments filed November 29, 1995 have been fully considered but they are not deemed to be persuasive.

Applicant argues that the dye in Buese is mixed with the binder and not on the tape. Although the dye is mixed with the binder it is still impregnated into the tape. Thus, the dye is disposed on the tape. Applicant argues that Casper does not disclose an open mesh fabric that has two coloring agents. However, Casper teaches in Col. 7, line 51 to Col. 8, line 11, an open mesh comprising at least two coloring agents. Applicant argues that Parker does not provide the teaching missing from Casper or Buese. However, Parker was used as a modifier to provide cotton or synthetic fibers for the bandage. Applicant argues that Paxit teaching is nonanalogus to the orthopedic cast art. However, Paxit clearly teaches a polyester fabric that may be printed with a pattern by depositing a dye on a fabric.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a).

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE TO THIS FINAL ACTION IS SET TO EXPIRE THREE MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS ACTION. IN THE EVENT A FIRST RESPONSE IS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION AND THE ADVISORY ACTION IS NOT MAILED

Serial No. 08/335,981 Art Unit 3301

UNTIL AFTER THE END OF THE THREE-MONTH SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD, THEN THE SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD WILL EXPIRE ON THE DATE THE ADVISORY ACTION IS MAILED, AND ANY EXTENSION FEE PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a) WILL BE CALCULATED FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THE ADVISORY ACTION. IN NO EVENT WILL THE STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE EXPIRE LATER THAN SIX MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION.

Claims 34-42 are allowable over the prior art of record.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Michael Brown at telephone number (703) 308-2682.

M. Brown 7 January 1996

> MICHAEL A. BROWN PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 3300

Mihael a Br